

The stated meeting of the Board of Commissioners of the Township of Abington was held on Thursday, January 9, 2025 via webinar and in-person at the Township Administration Building, Abington, PA, with President Hecker presiding.

**CALL TO ORDER:** 7:01 p.m.

**ROLL CALL:** Present: Commissioners BRODSKY, ROTHMAN, DiPLACIDO, LANEY-MARTIN, BROWNE, WINEGRAD, HENRY, ZAPPONE, YOUNG-GERTZ, SPIEGELMAN, BOLE, SCHREIBER, BOWMAN, VAHEY, HECKER

Also Present: Township Manager Christman  
Assistant Legal Counsel Gallagher

## **PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE**

## **BOARD PRESIDENT ANNOUNCEMENTS:**

President Hecker welcomed our new Manager, Mr. Christman to his first meeting and we are delighted to have him with us during his first week. We look forward to working together and learning from him as well as from his expertise that he will bring to this job and to the Township.

## **PUBLIC COMMENT ON AGENDA ITEMS:**

Adele Kubel, resident, expressed concern about the minutes and the December video. Also, she was concerned about “VIP speculators” who are waiting for zoning changes and variances that will be voted forward. “In Abington, rich developers are the community’s planners, and taxpayers are absorbing the costs for apartment complexes of billionaires.” The Street Department is being stretched too thin, and the streets are filled with filth because there are not enough people, and the main problem is not bags, it is the drinking containers such as large WAWA cups and jumbo Dunkin Donuts cups.

Ethan Simon, resident of Hemlock Circle, commented that he supports the single-use plastic bag proposal brought forth by the EAC. This proposal plays an important role in reducing pollution in the Township, particularly in our streams. The proposal will provide other benefits that he wrote about in a letter to Commissioner Winegrad.

Many neighboring Townships have already adopted this sensible practice and Karin McGarry Rosen has spent at least 100 hours speaking with business owners and residents in other communities. As a result, the EAC will present a well-considered proposal for an ordinance and its successful implementation, and he thanked them for it.

He urged the Board to consider all the evidence from other communities who have successfully implemented a sensible and beneficial proposal. And the political risk of taken action is extremely low as many Townships have already done it, in fact, there's going to be potential political risk if no action is taken. He feels the majority will take positive action on this matter as a display of great civic leadership, and he hopes it will be supported.

Jackie Pickering, resident, The Fairway, commented that she previously spoke at the meeting in December about the environmental and pollution issues related to single-use plastics. There are many practical issues such as PennDOT spending millions of taxpayers' dollars cleaning up this litter and trash, and a study of nine municipalities in Pennsylvania found that approximately \$70 million dollars is spent on cleaning up plastic waste, so it is time to make some moves.

If plastic is everywhere and in everything we eat and drink, the only way to make it go away is to stop using it, therefore stopping the producers from making it. The City of Philadelphia released a study on the effectiveness of their plastic bag ban and found the use of plastic bags decreased by over 90%, so she urged the Board to vote in favor of the bag ban.

Belle Sherwood, said Penn Environment is a statewide environmental advocacy nonprofit dedicated to ensuring that all Pennsylvanians have access to clean air, clean water, protected open spaces and a safe, livable climate, and she is here to speak in support of Abington's proposed ban on single-use plastic bags.

She thanked the Board of Commissioners for considering this important legislation as well as the EAC for driving it forward. Penn Environment has worked for the past several years with many of your neighbors on tackling plastic pollution.

Each year, Americans generate 35 million tons of plastic waste, but less than 6% gets recycled. We use plastic bags once and throw them away and it either ends up in landfills, incinerated or littered directly into our environment. Plastic can harm our wildlife and health for hundreds of years if not longer. Plastic bags are almost impossible to recycle. They clog storm drains, litter our parks and open spaces and can snare or choke wildlife. These bags can break down but only into tiny pieces of plastic called microplastics.

Penn Environment has tested over 100 waterways in Pennsylvania and across the state and found microplastics in every single one including Tookany Creek. They are in our air, water and food and a study has shown that each week the average American ingests five grams of plastics just by living their day-to-day lives, which is equivalent to eating, breathing and drinking a credit card's worth of plastic every week.

By our estimate, Abington's residents use over 20 million plastic bags every year, so banning them would go a long way in addressing the problem. We need to start upstream and cut off pollution at the source, so it does not become waste, litter, and harm our wildlife or our health. The plastic bag ban is a proven solution for this problem, and we have seen it done successfully at the state and local levels across the country, and momentum is building right here in Pennsylvania.

There are 35 plastic bag bans in Pennsylvania right now including ones passed by Cheltenham, Upper Moreland, Upper Dublin and Springfield Townships. This ordinance would be a great first step in helping the Township reduce plastic waste and litter, and if passed, will encourage residents to use more sustainable alternatives when they shop such as using reusable bags. Abington has a chance to be a leader on this issue, and she asked the Board of Commissioners to vote "yes" on pursuing this ordinance.

Joe Rooney, resident, welcomed Mr. Christman and thanked him for joining us in leading the Township with integrity, courage, leadership and wise counsel.

Also, regarding the bag ordinance that reads, "to prohibit the degradation, diminution and depletion of the public natural resources," and that was never proven. We need our elected officials to focus on what is important in our Township, which is public safety, fire safety, public works and good wise stewardship of our tax dollars, and this is nothing but "froth and bubbles." "Plastic bags do nothing for whatever microplastics are and there are no studies saying that, so you are not banning plastic bags, you are picking on small businesses by adding costs for the poor, elderly and minorities, which is a hidden tax." Also, he was concerned about fines listed in the ordinance as well as enforcement, so please do not vote "yes" for it.

Loral Lehmann, resident, welcomed Mr. Christman. She hopes the Board will vote for the bag ban, and the Neighborly software item should not be voted on tonight because it did not have proper vetting in front of Abington residents, and collecting data on us is not necessary or desired.

Also, she was concerned about agenda item 2241 Old Welsh Road regarding the variances, missing information, and the legality of it, so it should be removed from the agenda and go to the Zoning Hearing Board.

## **CONSENT AGENDA ITEMS:**

Vice President Vahey made a MOTION, seconded by Commissioner Spiegelman to approve Items a. – c. as listed under Consent Agenda as follows:

To approve the minutes from the Board of Commissioners Regular Meeting of December 12, 2024.

To appoint Richard Goldstone as Planning Commission member to the Vacant Property Review Board.

To approve the Neighborly, Inc. software agreement for the CDBG grants management program and authorize the Township Manager to sign on behalf of the Township.

MOTION was ADOPTED 15-0.

**UNFINISHED BUSINESS:** None.

## **NEW BUSINESS:**

### Item BOC-03-010925:

Vice President Vahey made a MOTION, seconded by Commissioner Schreiber to adopt Resolution No. 25-001 appointing Christopher Christman, Township Manager, as Township Secretary.

President Hecker asked for any comments from Commissioners. There were none.

MOTION was ADOPTED 15-0.

### Item BOC-04-010925:

Vice President Vahey made a MOTION, seconded by Commissioner Schreiber to approve LD-24-01– 2241 Old Welsh Road as a Preliminary Major Subdivision and Land Development Plan and adopt Resolution No. 25-002.

President Hecker asked for any comments from Commissioners.

Commissioner Browne said during the last meeting many residents expressed concern about this project, so has there been any new feedback from them regarding this matter?

Commissioner Laney-Martin replied that the residents have met and spoken with the developer numerous times and have come to a resolution that they are satisfied with, and everyone is ready to proceed.

President Hecker said there was an allegation made that this process was not done legally, and he asked Township Solicitor to ensure the Board that is not the case.

Ms. Gallagher replied after reviewing the various items identified by the speaker with Mr. Hassan, Township Engineer, we are comfortable with the Township proceeding with preliminary approval.

MOTION was ADOPTED 15-0.

Item BOC-05-010925:

Vice President Vahey made a MOTION, seconded by Commissioner Spiegelman to adopt Resolution No. 25-003 approving a lot line adjustment for 127 Stanley Avenue and 2122 Oakdale Avenue.

President Hecker asked for any comments from Commissioners. There were none.

MOTION was ADOPTED 15-0.

Item BOC-06-010925:

Vice President Vahey made a MOTION, seconded by Commissioner Spiegelman to authorize advertisement for an ordinance on single-use plastics and expanded polystyrene foam products.

President Hecker asked for any comments from Commissioners.

Vice President Vahey thanked Ms. Karin McGarry Rosen and Ms. Andrea Soo of the EAC for their work on this ordinance. It is great to have volunteer boards that are as engaged in our civic discourse as you are.

He has concerns about the text of the proposed ordinance and would like to strike the entire provision as listed under Section 7 - Compliant Bags, Item B. that reads, "A commercial establishment may provide a customer a compliant bag at the point-of-sale if the bag is provided to the customer for a charge of not less than \$0.15 per bag."

We have been debating how plastics are polluting the environment, and this is a good move to prevent plastic pollution. However, we have not discussed how paper bags are damaging the environment, and while there may reason to consider it in a future ordinance, right now, we should be focusing on the issue of plastic bags, and he does not want to put a required nuisance charge on residents should they forget their reusable bags and then must pay the merchant for a paper bag.

Also, he would like to remove the provision for the requirement to permanently display the manufacturer's name as well as the contents of the bag itself. He has done research and was happy to see that the bags have 40% postconsumer used material, which are easy to find and available through multiple wholesalers, but what was difficult to find was whether those bags would be printed with the requirements in this ordinance. Some wholesalers have it and some are difficult to figure out what you are getting and what you are not, so he does not see tremendous value in requiring it; also, it would allow some customers to complain that merchants are not using the right bags, so we should be focusing on whether it is a plastic bag and not a fully recycled bag.

Commissioner Rothman agreed with sentiments set forth by Vice President Vahey. This would be a significant change for many people, and the Board can change the ordinance if we find more information and then review it regularly. Ultimately, this is the right thing to do, but we owe it to ourselves and the residents to keep digging further, so we should not sit back and wait another year. The measures mentioned by Vice President Vahey make sense, so he strongly suggests moving forward with that in mind.

Commissioner Spiegelman said Ms. Karin McGarry Rosen has done Ward 11 proud, and he thanked her as well as her colleagues for their tireless work.

He appreciates Vice President Vahey's comments and perspective, and he is onboard with the second proposal. However, regarding the nuisance fee, he asked Vice President Vahey if he would be amenable to lessening the nuisance fee to \$0.10, which would be more in line with other municipalities not just in Pennsylvania but in New York City, or be willing to consider a sunrise clause where we would phase-in a nuisance fee after a certain amount of time that the ordinance has been in place, but keep it on the record.

Vice President Vahey replied that he would like to strike it entirely and he is not inclined to support a fee. His preference would be to enact a sunrise clause later if we feel it is warranted.

Ms. Karin McGarry Rosen, said she spoke with Vice President Vahey about the fee, and he is not in support of it, so we went back to Penn Environment and asked them whether it is still worthwhile to have a ban without a fee and their answer was *'yes, it is important to pass the plastic bag ban and not have the fee.'* Regarding removing the printing on the bag, which would not be a "big whoop."

Commissioner Schreiber said she would also like to see the \$0.15 fee removed. She experienced going into a restaurant and was charged for the paper bag that her stuff was put in, which was annoying, so she supports removing it. She is not sure this solves the plastic problem but understands most people do not recycle their plastic bags, so she is willing to consider the ordinance.

Commissioner Henry said she understands the point of the nuisance fee but also gets annoyed when having to pay more when using a credit card than cash. It is annoying to have to be charged for bags by major stores such as Target that they should be providing for anyway. She does not like a fee coming from the consumers' pocket and they should be getting some benefit for remembering to bring their reusable bags such as a \$0.10 credit.

Commissioner Zappone said a year ago, when the presenters put forth this proposed ban, they talked about the number of whales being killed by bags, he asked then how many human beings were caused harm from those bags, and he never received an answer. A speaker commented about '*PennDOT spending over \$70 million dollars to clean up plastic bags,*' and he disagrees with that. It is \$70 million dollars to clean **all highway debris**, not just plastic bags. Also, it is an extra burden on taxpayers to have to buy these other special bags.

Abington needs to continue to be a leader and not a follower, and just because other townships are doing it, does not mean we should get on the bandwagon. We need to go in a different direction and not have a complete ban on plastic bags, which is just "ridiculous."

Commissioner Bole agreed with Commissioner Zappone that we shouldn't do this because we are "Keeping up with the Joneses." After careful thought, research and discussion with several ward residents on all sides of this issue, he has decided to support the ban on single-use plastic bags, which is not a decision he made lightly. He agrees with Vice President Vahey that we need to strike from the ordinance the \$0.15 mandatory charge as we cannot mandate businesses of the Township to charge customers for this.

He understands the counterarguments where some are questioning whether banning plastic bags will have a significant environmental impact, others are worried about potential cost or inconveniences, which are valid points. We worked hard to make sure this policy does not impose an undue burden on local businesses or families. Others have argued that instead of throwing away plastic bags, will instead throw away more of the costlier more environmentally intensive plastic reusable bags.

In the end, he believes this separate taking is necessary and the right thing to do, but it is not enough. No one disputes that single-use plastic bags lead to litter and pollution in waterways and there are many studies about the impact on people's health. They harm wildlife, clog waste systems and break down into microplastics contaminating our environment for generations.

While it's true that one policy will not solve all these problems, it will make a measurable difference and sends a strong message that we are committed to reducing waste and building a more sustainable future.

This ban encourages a cultural shift that we should not discount. Small actions such as choosing reusable bags do lead to more significant changes in how we think about consumption and environmental stewardship. We are not just banning bags but trying to foster a mindset that values our planet and its resources.

Also, for those who have shared that focusing on this ordinance means that we are not focusing on issues such as crime, two years ago, the Board of Commissioners passed 14-1, a robust budget that added extra police officers to the streets and significant upgrades to the APD's readiness capabilities and communications infrastructure.

We do have an obligation to monitor the impacts of this policy as Commissioner Rothman said and remain open to adjustments if necessary. Tonight, he will vote to ban single-use plastic bags because he believes we are responsible for acting. It's a small important step forward for a cleaner, healthier and more sustainable community.

Commissioner Laney-Martin said as a small business owner who provides products for customers, this is something that has been built into a thought process. It has evolved over time, especially when more and more products are being made to address the need for providing bags for customers.

Abington business owners who she has spoken with have already transitioned, especially those who use Uber Eats, Grub Hub, etc., where those platforms score you if you don't use sustainable products to put your food in. It makes a difference to individuals who are buying those products, and it is generational as many younger people use those apps continuously. She is glad to see this is something that Abington is doing, and it may help make our business more competitive, and if you are trying to get more customers, you are thinking about this.

President Hecker said this is a motion to advertise the ordinance and not voting to adopt it. If a motion is approved in its current form or amended, that is what will be advertised and then come back before the Board of Commissioners at next month's business meeting.

Vice President Vahey made a MOTION to AMEND the MOTION to amend the definition of Compliant Bag by striking subsection A. (3) that reads, "is labeled in a highly visible manner with the name of the manufacturer and the percentage of post-consumer recycled content of the bag in an easy-to-read font size," seconded by Commissioner Spiegelman.

MOTION to AMEND the motion on the floor – PASSED 15-0.

Vice President Vahey made a MOTION to AMEND the MOTION to amend Section 7. by striking subsections B. C. and D. and re-lettering subsection E. to subsection B. with the removal of the provisions regarding the mandatory fee, seconded by Commissioner Schreiber.

MOTION to AMEND the motion on the floor – PASSED 14-1. Commissioner Spiegelman opposed.

MOTION to advertise the ordinance as AMENDED – PASSED 14-1. Commissioner Zappone opposed.

Item BOC-07-010925:

Vice President Vahey made a MOTION, seconded by Commissioner Spiegelman to appoint members to the various Boards, Commissions, Committees and Councils as included in Exhibit “A.”

President Hecker clarified that these are reappointments, and any vacancies will be addressed throughout the year. He asked for any comments from Commissioners. There were none.

MOTION was ADOPTED 15-0.

Item BOC-08-010925:

Vice President Vahey made a MOTION, seconded by Commissioner Schreiber to authorize advertisement of an ordinance regulating vape shops.

Ms. Gallagher noted that we reviewed other local ordinances regarding restricting the distance between an existing establishment and a new one, and we believe that would withstand a challenge, so it has been added to the draft ordinance.

The code department suggested solving the issue with a 1,000 ft. restriction between an existing establishment and a new one. We also included provisions limiting the districts where these types of establishments can be located, the hours of operation, and parking requirements. The Township staff and Code Department have reviewed the changes and are comfortable with them.

This is a zoning ordinance so it will be sent to both the County and Township’s Planning Commission for review and then brought back before the Board of Commissioners for consideration of adoption.

President Hecker asked for any comments from Commissioners.

Commissioner Schreiber questioned whether the draft ordinance will be advertised prior to being sent to the Planning Commissions.

Ms. Gallagher replied it can be sent concurrently. The MPC requires the ordinance to be reviewed by the Planning Commission 30 days in advance before the Board considers it for adoption. The draft has already been sent to the County Planning Commission and staff are working to get it on the agenda for this month's Planning Commission meeting.

Commissioner Schreiber clarified that the motion is to advertise the ordinance for next month's meeting, so it will be reviewed before then. Is that correct?

Ms. Gallagher replied yes, and it will be advertised for the February meeting.

Commissioner Schreiber asked for clarification on the distance restriction.

Ms. Gallagher replied if the ordinance passes, it will restrict these establishments to at least 1,000 ft. from each other, but it would not affect existing facilities. Any new establishment would need to be 1,000 ft. away from any other tobacco, smoke or vape shop or would need relief from the Zoning Hearing Board.

Commissioner Schreiber said if an existing gas station is selling these types of products, would a new business be required to be 1,000 ft. away.

Ms. Gallagher replied the ordinance defines tobacco, smoke and vape shops as a separate use so it will only affect businesses in which that is their primary use, so a gas station selling cigarettes will not trigger that provision.

Commissioner Schreiber asked about a restriction to be a certain distance from a school.

Ms. Gallagher replied we reviewed that, and do not recommend. We discussed it with Code Enforcement staff and there are very few locations that have proximity to a school or any other type of institutional use.

Commissioner Schreiber clarified that it could be challenged. Is that correct?

Ms. Gallagher replied yes.

Vice President Vahey said this ordinance exceeds expectations as it will keep these shops off our main streets, which is a huge win, and puts them in shopping centers, which is less intrusive than what we are seeing now. One of the issues is their lighting, and although we do not need to address it in this ordinance, we may consider reviewing the Township's lighting ordinance in general for all commercial uses.

Ms. Gallagher replied we are currently reviewing lighting ordinances.

Commissioner Rothman asked about the procedure for advertising the ordinance while being reviewed by two different bodies who may have comments, so would any changes reset the timeline because it has been advertised, or does it depend on how much we change it?

Ms. Gallagher replied it depends on what the changes will be.

Commissioner Rothman questioned whether the Board would like to add a restriction for distance from schools.

Vice President Vahey replied we should look at the districts where these establishments are limited and see where they are in proximity to schools. It is important to get this done now and then we can make amendments later.

Commissioner Schreiber agreed to wait, pass it now, and then amend the ordinance later.

Ms. Gallagher replied due to the districts this is limited to, it would be sparse to being anywhere near a school, but we will get the specifics from the zoning officer.

Commissioner Spiegelman said given the districts in which they are limited and the risk of making the ordinance more vulnerable to challenge, it is not worth getting it done in a robust manner. There have been major complaints about this on social media, and the Board of Commissioners working with the Solicitor's Office is making this happen. This is responsive government. It is responsive, cooperative, collective action.

Commissioner Henry said she supports a provision for restriction from school areas, but the vulnerability is not worth it at this time.

Commissioner Laney-Martin said she has heard many complaints about vape shops and she is glad we are addressing it. Also, there are a few places in her area where they are near schools, daycares, etc., so we should address it in the future.

Mr. Tom McAneney, Director of Fire & Emergency Management Services, said regarding the restriction to limiting the proposed use to the BC-Business Center District, there are only two schools within 1,000 ft., which is Regina Coeli near the Target in which the proposed use would be located beyond 1,000 ft., and the other is Willow Hill near the Willow Grove Mall and the shop would be inside the mall property.

Commissioner Schreiber asked about the 1,000 ft. restriction in other zoning districts.

Ms. Gallagher replied the proposed use is permitted in the BC-District and not permitted in any other district.

MOTION was ADOPTED 15-0.

Item BOC-09-010925:

Vice President Vahey made a MOTION, seconded by Commissioner Spiegelman to adopt Ordinance No. 2223 amending the Code of the Township of Abington to repeal Chapter 127 – “Recycling.”

President Hecker asked for any comments from Commissioners. There were none.

MOTION was ADOPTED 15-0.

Item BOC-10-010925:

Vice President Vahey made a MOTION, seconded by Commissioner Spiegelman to adopt Ordinance No. 2224 amending Chapter 92 – “Garbage, Storage and Refuse,” Article VII – “Separation, Storage, and Collection of Recyclable Materials,” at Section 92-40 – “Definitions,” Section 92-41 “Residential Separation and Preparation Requirements,” Section 92-42 – “Collection from Residence,” and Section 92-44 – “Multifamily, Commercial, Retail and Institutional Requirements.”

President Hecker asked for any comments from Commissioners. There were none.

MOTION was ADOPTED 15-0.

**FINANCE COMMITTEE:**

Item FC-01-010925:

Commissioner Winegrad made a MOTION, seconded Commissioner Brodsky to approve the November 2024 expenditures in the amount of \$2,192,886.11 and salaries and wages in the amount of \$3,517,306.31 and authorize the proper officials to sign and approve invoices and supporting documentation in payment of bills and contracts as they mature through the month of February 2025.

Roll call resulted in motion being passed 15-0.

Item FC-02-010925:

Commissioner Winegrad made a MOTION, seconded by Commissioner Spiegelman to adopt Resolution No. 25-004 authorizing the finance director and his/her designate to invest Township funds in any and all such financial institutions as permitted by First Class Township Code.

President Hecker asked for any comments from Commissioners. There were none.

MOTION was ADOPTED 15-0.

President Hecker welcomed Ms. Karen Sanchez, our newly appointed Tax Collector/Treasurer, and we look forward to working with her.

**PUBLIC COMMENT:**

Adele Kubel, resident, expressed concern that the minutes and videos do not match; also, that her budget questions were not answered. She expressed disgust and anger because she fought tooth and nail for basic commercial code enforcement, and Abington has a severe rat infestation that has never been addressed. Open dumpsters attract rats that multiply faster than rabbits and live in packs, and instead of taking steps to control rats, Abington invites fast food places and convenience stores to develop breeding areas for them. Jumbo size WAWA cups roll down Easton Road into the creek, and billionaire corporations are saving money by failing to provide larger trash cans.

Ross Abel, resident, of Jenkintown Abington Neighbors Association, welcomed Mr. Christman and we are looking forward to a partnership. According to PennDOT, from 2018 to 2022, seven pedestrians were killed and 108 injured. 34 bicyclists were injured by drivers across Jenkintown and Abington, and a bicyclist was killed at the end of 2023 at York Road and Rydal. Traffic violence is now the other biggest killer of young Americans, and the numbers are skyrocketing.

He questioned whether Abington representatives and staff would commit to learning about and supporting Vision Zero, which is the pursuit of zero traffic deaths on our streets and traffic calming infrastructure for pedestrians, children, the disabled, and cyclists. There is a Vision Zero Philadelphia Conference to be held at Temple University on Friday, March 28, 2025, organized by the Bicycle Coalition of Greater Philadelphia.

Also, more needs to be done on Washington Lane in pursuing safer streets for our residents.

Lora Lehmann, resident, expressed concern about violations of the zoning code and her questions not being answered.

President Hecker replied we will be happy to provide responses to questions raised by the resident, and the Township's attorney as well as other professional staff do not agree with that assessment.

Katie Kempf, resident, said she wants to bring to the Board's attention how disruptive Astra Lumina at the Abington Art Center has been to our neighbors and how it is destroying Township property. Their staging and delivery area in front of the Little Meetinghouse completely destroyed their lawn, which is against the Township's code. When Astra Lumina is taken down in March, she requested they use Alverthorpe's maintenance area, which would be better suited for equipment.

The entire project is against the Township code. She never received a response whether arborists have been consulted because tree drip lines were affected, and construction vehicles ran over tree roots compromising the trees on the Art Center's trail as well as the lawn of the Little Meetinghouse.

The project is not ADA compliant; the noise bothers residents; the fog from their show fogs up Jenkintown Road; and they are responsible for all snow/ice removal from the trail and still have not removed it, so they are making it dangerous for pedestrians. She asked the Board to reconsider letting Astra Lumina use the property and the trail in the future.

**ADJOURNMENT:** 8:22 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Liz Vile, Recording Secretary

The following ordinances and resolutions were adopted by the Board of Commissioners on January 9, 2025:

Ordinance No. 2233

Ordinance No. 2224

Resolution No. 25-001

Resolution No. 25-002

Resolution No. 25-003

Resolution No. 25-004